IROWG 2nd Workshop 28th March - 3rd April 2012, Stanley Hotel in Estes Park, CO, USA



Implementation of ROSA radio occultation data handling into EUMETSAT and GRAS-SAF processing

- R. Notarpietro Politecnico of Turin (Italy)
- C. Marquardt, A. Von Engeln, Y. Andres, A. Foresi EUMETSAT (Germany)
- K. Lauritsen, K. Kinch, H. Wilhelmsen ROM-SAF @ DMI (Denmark)
- A. Zin, S. Landenna Thales Alenia Space Italy (Italy)
- V. Catalano, V. De Cosmo Italian Space Agency (Italy)

















Within this contribution, outcomes from the 16th GRAS – SAF Visiting Scientist activity will be described and main results will be shown.

The 16th GRAS – SAF VISITING SCIENTIST Activity has been mainly focused on: - evaluation of ROSA data quality (data observed on board OCEANSAT-2 only) - implementation of ROSA RO data handling into EUMETSAT (**YAROS**) and GRAS-SAF (*ROPP*) processing

Summary

- ROSA (OC-2, SAC-D, Megha Tropiques) description
- ROSA Data and Processing description
- High Rate data
- SNR data
- ROSA data validation strategy
- ROSA raw data Quality Check
- Bending angle statistics
- Impact on L2 extrapolation
- Conclusion & Recomendations



ROSA Description (1/5)



The ROSA Instrument

Kindly provided by A. Zin



ION ITM 2010

Antenn POD



Developed by Thales Alenia Space Italia under ASI contract

Page 1

Receiver Unit



THALES All rights reserved, 2010, Thales Alenia Space

January 26, 2010



ION ITM 2010

All rights reserved, 2010, Thales Alenia Space









THALES All rights reserved, 2010, Thales Alenia Space

ION ITM 2010

January 26, 2010

Kindly provided by A. Zin



ROSA Description (5/5)



Zin

Kindly provided by A.



ROSA on MeghaTropiques

Page 5

- ISRO mission dedicated to tropical atmosphere studies: 3 radiometric instruments to observe water vapour, condensed water and radiative fluxes
- Highly repetitive sampling of inter-tropical band: latitudes 10°-20°, 870Km
- MT periodically performs yaw axis rotation causing ROSA to exchange velocity ROA with anti-velocity ROA
- RO Antennas are one half 6-patch panel, azimuth FOV limited to ±35°
- MEGHA TROPIQUES satellite has been launched on 12 October 2011
- ROSA instrument has been switched ON 12 October 2011



THALES All rights reserved, 2010, Thales Alenia Space

ION ITM 2010

January 26, 2010



Data and Processing Description (1/2)





7. Open Loop Observation from Occultation Antenna file

Science data



Data and Processing Description (2/2)



Italian Space Agency and Thales Alenia Space – Italy provided the following data:

- one month of ROSA native binary data (Level 0), observed on-board Oceansat-2, from 15 August 2010 to 14 September 2010.

- corresponding one month of Oceansat-2 attitude data (orbits and quaternion data). Such files are transmitted together ROSA binary data sharing the same telemetry channel. The records contain UTC Times, Orbits in ECI reference frame and Quaternions in ECI reference frame.

In the framework of this VS activity, analysis and results has been performed on a shorter time interval. The ~30 hours from 13:30 UTC of 24 August, 2010 to 17:40 UTC of 25 August 2010 have been deeply analyzed.





High Rate Data

NAPEOS @

EUMETSAT



Navigation POD data ROSA attitude data

Column	Rinex Observation Code	Units	Format	Precision (C language output format specification)
Istantaneous Doppler L1	D1	[m/s]	float	14.3
Istantaneous Doppler L1 signal quality	N.A.		10 base integer	
Istantaneous Doppler L2	D2	[m/s]	float	14.3
Istantaneous Doppler L2 signal quality	N.A.		10 base integer	
Carrier phase L1	L1	[cy]	float	14.3
Carrier phase L2	L2	[cy]	float	14.3
Signal power L1	S1		float	14.3
Noise power L1	N1		float	14.3
Signal power L2	S2		float	14.3
Noise power L2	N2		float	14.3

GPS and OC-2 sp3 data Observation High Rate data

All these data (taken by the VEL-Antenna) are available at the following sample rates

Altitude [km]	Sampling Rate [Hz]			
	Close loop	Open loop		
800-200	1	N/A		
200-50	10	N/A		
50-P(*)	50	N/A		
P(*)-0	50	100		

(the Altitude here is intended to be the Estimated ray tangent altitude derived by climatology, P(*) is a user definable value that can be set through telecommands. It is actually set to 12 km SLTA).

For each occulted GPS SV tracked in Close Loop, the receiver selects a reference GPS satellite (pivot SV) and provide observations of this reference GPS SV at the same sampling rate of the occulted GPS SV. **Therefore several "limb"-TEC observation at 50 Hz are available for further studies**



SNR data (1/3)



Navigation POD data ROSA attitude data



GPS and OC-2 sp3 data Observation High Rate data

Column	Rinex Observation Code	Units	Format	Precision (C language output format specification)
Istantaneous Doppler L1	D1	[m/s]	float	14.3
Istantaneous Doppler L1 signal quality	N.A.		10 base integer	
Istantaneous Doppler L2	D2	[m/s]	float	14.3
Istantaneous Doppler L2 signal quality	N.A.		10 base integer	
Carrier phase L1	L1	[cy]	float	14.3
Carrier phase L2	L2	[cy]	float	14.3
Signal power L1	S1		float	14.3
Noise power L1	N1		float	14.3
Signal power L2	S2		float	14.3
Noise power L2	N2		float	14.3

SNRs (CN0 in DB-Hz) are available only in the LOW rate observation files (1Hz sample rate). Here we have **SIGNAL** and NOISE LEVELs. Such data can be combined to obtain SNRs.

SIGNAL power on L1 and L2 is computed as the power available in the I and Q components (sampled at 29 MHz) at the output of the AGGA correlators. Formally,

$$S_1 = 10 \log_{10} \left(\sum_{\text{integration time}} I^2 + Q^2 \right)_{L1}$$

Integration time: 20 ms

combining opportunely **SIGNAL** and NOISE power, the SNR obtained is coherenyt with the one stored in the LOW rate OBSERVATION files



SNR data (2/3)



time

Navigation POD data ROSA attitude data



GPS and OC-2 sp3 data Observation High Rate data



SNRs (CN0 in DB-Hz) are available only i have **SIGNAL** and NOISE LEVELs. Such

SIGNAL power on L1 and L2 is computed 29 MHz) at the output of the AGGA correl

combining opportunely **SIGNAL** and NOl in the LOW rate OBSERVATION files







ROSA raw data Quality Check (1/3)



The QC analysis on the level1a YAROS output provided:

- the number of continuous L1 and L2 Excess-phase segments,
- the minimum SLTA from which L1 and L2 Excess-phases are available
- the length of the continuous segments can be performed

Input Data Set:

ROSA observ collected from 13:30 (UTC) of 24/08/10 to 17:40 of 25/08/10

L1 CA

280 continuous L1 CA segments are recognized and, without considering a very small number of outliers, CA tracking starts below -100 km SLTA (left histogram) and it continues without interruptions up to the orbit height (~800 km – see the right histogram)





ROSA raw data Quality Check (2/3)



Input Data Set: ROSA observ collected from 13:30 (UTC) of 24/08/10 to 17:40 of 25/08/10

L2 P

477 continuous segments are recognized. **200** data gaps (**477** L2 segments – **280** L1 segments) greater than 1.3 sec were observed.





~240 continuous segments out of 477 (~50%) are characterized by a minimum SLTA < 100 km (A+B cases highlighted in the previous plot).

for ~130 of these (**~28%** of the total), the SLTA interval length (up to the first data gap) is < 100 km (**case A** highlighted in the previous plot)

~110 of the remaining continuous L2 Excess Phase profiles (~17% of the total) last up to the height orbit (the SLTA continuous data interval length is more than 600 km)



Input Data Set: ROSA observ. collected from 13:30 (UTC) of 24/08/10 to 17:40 of 25/08/10

cumulative distribution of the identified continuous L2 Excess Phase segments belonging to the A + B ensemble (240 events), in function of the start SLTA from which they are tracked.





BENDING ANGLE STATISTICs

A global analysis was performed considering the statistical comparison (<u>no automathic outlyer rejection</u>) between the bending angle profiles obtained using ROPP_PP and YAROS/ROSA, and the corresponding profiles obtained applying the ROPP_FM (Forward Model) to ECMWF co-located data.

The analysis is carried out taken into account

• all the available profiles for which L2 is available from 0 km to 10 km SLTA (here shown)

• all the available profiles for which L2 is available below 35 km SLTA (see next slides)





BENDING ANGLE STATISTICs

Here the global analysis (statistic without automatic outliers rejection) is shown **considering all the available profiles for which L2 is available below 40 km SLTA**

L2 available starting from **0 – 35 km SLTA** (80 events)

The negative bias of 1% observed in the ROPP_PP results is probably due to the impact of L2 downward extrapolation for those events for which L2 starts to be tracked to high in atmosphere

L2 available starting from **10 – 35 km SLTA** (63 events)





BENDING ANGLE STATISTICs

Here the global analysis (statistic without automatic outliers rejection) is shown **considering all the available profiles for which L2 is available below 40 km SLTA**

L2 available starting from **30 – 35 km SLTA** (15 events)

L2 available starting from 35 – 40 km SLTA (9 events)





Impact on L2 extrapolation

(1/4)



IROWG 2nd Workshop

Impact of L2 extrapolation

YAROS/ROSA seems not to be impacted too much by L2 downward estrapolation problems (in this YAROS release, the new extrapolation technique developed by **Culverwell & Healy approach** is used [see presentation **lonospheric correction of RO signals by direct modelling of ionosphere** expected for March 29th]).

It can also be seen considering the results from another point of view. That is if we consider the statistics starting taking into account all the events for which L2 starts to be available at least to 10 km, to 20 km, to 25 km, to 30 km, to 35 km and to 40 km SLTA.



Gray: L2 available at least below 40 km (90 events / 290 -> 31%) Green: L2 available at least below 35 km (80 events / 290 -> 27.6%) Black: L2 available at least below 30 km (65 events / 290 -> 22.4%) Cyan: L2 available at least below 25 km (47 events / 290 -> 16.2%) Red: L2 available at least below 20 km (32 events / 290 -> 11%) Blue: L2 available at least below 10 km (16 events / 290 -> 5.6%)



Impact on L2 extrapolation

(2/4)



IROWG 2nd Workshop





Impact of L2 extrapolation

A further attempt was made by *Axel Von Engeln* in better understanding the effect of L2 data **UNAVAILABILITY** below a certain SLTA. He run some GRAS retrievals where he just removed the L2 data up to a certain SLTA altitude (20, 30, 40 km) and compared them to the retrieval where all GRAS L2 data is available.



"regular" L2 extrapolation (robust fit of the L1-L2 data over 15km, extrapolated downwards, transition over 15km)

No bias below 15 km Maximum (and high) bias near to the low boundary of the transition zone Culverwell-Healy (Cully) approach (similar setup, with 15km [10 km] transition, robust fit).

No bias below 20 km Maximum bias near to the low boundary of the transition zone



Impact of L2 extrapolation

A further attempt was made by *Axel Von Engeln* in better understanding the effect of L2 data **UNAVAILABILITY** below a certain SLTA. He run some GRAS retrievals where he just removed the L2 data up to a certain SLTA altitude (20, 30, 40 km) and compared them to the retrieval where all GRAS L2 data is available.



Lesson learned:

- If L2 is not available above a certain SLTA level (in the average, 40 km for the ROSA observations onboard OC-2), degraded results are expected between $\sim 20 \div 50/60$ km (errors appears also in the region where observations are fitted)

- The impact of ionospheric extrapolation/compensation seems to be negiglible in the troposphere

- Culverwell-Healy (Cully) approach performs better than the standard one





✓ ROSA Radio Occultation data handling has been implemented and tested into EUMETSAT and GRAS-SAF processing tools.

✓ Even if ROSA on board OCEANSAT-2 suffers for a lot of problems related to the platform, L1 data quality seems to be similar of that characterizing all the other known Radio Occultation instruments.

✓ Platform issues severely impact on L2 data quality. L2 signal starts to be properly tracked too high in the atmosphere. Several data gaps worsen L2 data quality.

✓ The statistical analysis performed to ROPP output profiles (bending angle, refractivity and dry temperature) reveals the ROSA products goodness only when L2 observables are available below 10 km SLTA. Unfortunately ROPP algorithms seemed actually not tailored to such bad data, in particular those algorithms in charge of extrapolate downward L2 data when they are not available.

✓ The bending angle statistical analysis performed considering YAROS Level 1b output reveals instead a good agreement with corresponding ECMWF Forward Modelled bending angle profiles. A better impact of the new Culverwell & Healy L2 extrapolation algorithm wrt the standard one is demostrated.



✓ ROSA data on-board OCEANSAT-2 platform seems to be effective for ionospheric studies. Above 100 km and up to the OCEANSAT-2 orbit height, both L1 and L2 data are more or less always available.

✓ Vertical profiles of quite horizontal TEC measurements always reveal the F2 peak. Just above 100 km is often present a structure on such "uncalibrated" TEC, which may be caused by sporadic E-layer (TBC).

✓ L1 and L2 Carrier Phase and amplitude limb sounding observations were often available at 50 Hz also during ionospheric sounding. These data can be considered as value-added products for scintillation studies in ionosphere and can open the door to future in-depth analysis



✓ Considering that ROSA data are available not only from the OCEANSAT-2 missions, but also from the Argentinean SAC-D and the Indian-France Megha-Tropiques, some further efforts should be still done.

 \checkmark From the receiver point of view, an in-depth analysis for better understanding the causes of L2 tracking problems is suggested.

 \checkmark It is also recommended to analyze the signal from 90 km to 110 km SLTA, in order to understand if SNR strong fadings (and corresponding L2 data gaps) are due to ionospheric perturbations.

✓ The activity for updating YAROS/ROPP to ROSA data management is not ended. Their fine tailoring to ROSA data should be finalized.

IROWG 2nd Workshop 28th March - 3rd April 2012, Stanley Hotel in Estes Park, CO, USA



Implementation of ROSA radio occultation data handling into EUMETSAT and GRAS-SAF processing

- R. Notarpietro Politecnico of Turin (Italy)
- C. Marquardt, A. Von Engeln, Y. Andres, A. Foresi EUMETSAT (Germany)
- K. Lauritsen, K. Kinch, H. Wilhelmsen ROM-SAF @ DMI (Denmark)
- A. Zin, S. Landenna Thales Alenia Space Italy (Italy)
- V. Catalano, V. De Cosmo Italian Space Agency (Italy)

Thank you very much!!!