

Adaptation of GPS-RO observation operator to the presence of atmospheric horizontal gradients

IROWG 2nd Workshop Estes Park, Colorado, USA Karen Boniface, Josep M. Aparicio Data Assimilation and Satellite Meteorology 29 March 2012

Overview

- GPS-RO geometry and classical retrievals
- Source of errors in retrieved refractivity profiles
- State of the art: Horizontal refractivity gradients
- Our approach
- How corrections on α and a are computed ?
- Horizontal refractivity gradients distribution
- Perspectives and conclusion





GPS-RO geometry: classical retrievals



We will not assume that the atmosphere is locally spherically symmetric:

 \rightarrow impact parameter is not constant along the ray





Source of errors in retrieved refractivity profiles

- Neglecting horizontal structure along the ray path.
- Geometrical / wave optics may insufficiently account for effects of diffraction in the lower troposphere.
- Obliquity of RO profiles :
 - Representativeness errors considering that the retrieved profiles are vertical scans (Foelsche et al., 2011): azimuth angle has to be considered.
 - NWP experiments confirmed that GPS RO soundings are more accurate if obliquity is considered (Cucurull 2011).
- Obliquity, representativity & horiz gradient are related.





State of the art : horizontal refractivity gradients

- Kursinski et al., (1997) : characterize refractivity errors associated with realistic horizontal refractivity variations using the NMC regional forecast ETA model
- Ahmad and Tyler (1999) highlight that large-scale departure in spherical symmetry can be modeled as an error in the location of the center of curvature of the atmosphere
- Healy (2001) demonstrated that horizontal refractivity gradients primarily cause errors in the derived impact parameter
- Poli and Joiner (2004) : tangent point drift effects are accounted considering a slant profile that follows the tangent point trajectory.





Our approach

- How atmospheric gradients have been computed ?
 - Along the ray (1D operator)
 - Location of RO profiles (1) deduced from atmprf files: *observable*
 - Atmospheric profiles location (0-2)
- Gradients and refractivity characteristics





R

C



LEC

Our approach

Characteristic size of the Gaussian region (250km)



- 3rd order Gauss-Hermitte quadrature
 - Cheapest simplification of a quasi-gaussian to 3 points



- Occultation plan coordinates extracted from atmPrf files
- Along track gradients are then computed with the background refractivity field from Environment Canada model





Our approach

- Why gradients have been computed in this way?
 - Computing cost efficiency needed for further implementation.
 - 1D operator solution privileged instead of full 2D
 - Gauss-Hermitte quadrature is an easy approximation and is possible using the following assumptions:
 - Refractivity and gradients follow a quasi Gaussian distribution along line of sight





How corrections on $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and a are computed ?

 Computation of a new center of curvature including existence of horizontal refractivity gradients



Horizontal refractivity gradients distribution



Horizontal refractivity gradients distribution

- Study case : Kompasu Typhoon (2010)
- Gradients distribution between 1st to 3rd Sept
- We select profiles for P < 960 hPa and no relief



Horizontal refractivity gradients distribution

 Statistics on horizontal gradients in x direction normalized with respect to vertical gradients







Conclusion and Perspectives

- Presence of horizontal refractivity gradients is significant :
 - at different time of the year
 - in case of strong pressure gradients
 - Globally distributed
- 1-D operator solution is proposed: linear correction to account for refractivity gradients along the sight line.

$$\alpha_{corr}(d) = \alpha_{g_x=0}(d) + \frac{d\alpha}{dg_x} \Big|_{g_x=0} g_x ; a_{corr}(d) = a_{g_x=0}(d) + \frac{d\alpha}{dg_x} \Big|_{g_x=0} g_x$$

 Evaluate data assimilation impacts using the Environment Canada system





Thank you for your attention